

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,679	12/04/2001	Thomas J. Maginot	1537-0021	1042	
7590 02/27/2004			EXAMINER		
Paul J. Maginot			THOMPSON, MICHAEL M		
10269 Bent Creek Court Fishers, IN 46038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·		·	3763	K	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ar	oplication No.	Applicant(s)	4
•	, ,	0/007,679	MAGINOT, THOMAS J.	ر
Office Action Summa	L	caminer	Art Unit	_
	<u> </u>	ichael M. Thompson	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this co				
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the If the period for reply specified above is less than If NO period for reply is specified above, the max Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three or earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). his communication. thirty (30) days, a reply with imum statutory period will ap for reply will, by statute, caus months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a repling the statutory minimum of thirty () why and will expire SIX (6) MONTH se the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 28 Nove	mber 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act			
3) Since this application is in con	dition for allowance	except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex pa	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-2</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-13</u> is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 10</u> is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	<u>@</u> is/are withdrawn fr are rejected. ted to.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∐ accepte	ed or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that an	y objection to the draw	ving(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is object	-		is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified c application from the Inte	e of: riority documents ha riority documents ha opies of the priority o ernational Bureau (P	ive been received. ive been received in App documents have been re CT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage	
			·	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			nmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4 			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/007,679

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group II., Species L, Figure 3 and Figure 6 as sub-species in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 14-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species or groups, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 6-9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paillot (FR 2,612,784-A1). Paillot teaches a subcutaneous port catheter system comprising a reservoir defining a chamber (4) and a septum (3), a guide catheter (7) having a guide lumen and distal orifice attached to the reservoir and an inner catheter (6) having a distal opening, wherein the inner catheter attached to the reservoir and being positioned within the guide lumen and extending through the distal guide orifice with the distal opening positioned outside of the guide catheter.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,679 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paillot in view of Ensminger et al. (5,531,684). Paillot teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for explicitly reciting a valve positioned adjacent to the distal orifice of an infusion catheter. Ensminger et al. teaches several forms of valve at a distal end of an infusion catheter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to have modified the device taught by Paillot by adding distal catheter valves as taught by Ensminger et al. for the well know purpose of providing both a closed and open system that enabling recharging of the implantable access device or reservoir or for the purpose of preventing the backflow of fluid into the system thereby contaminating the reservoir.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,679

Art Unit: 3763

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-5 and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: It

appears that the limitations of the claims in combination with any intervening claims may not be

obvious or inherent by the prior art..

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Michael Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1619.

The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Primary,

Brian Casler, can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The official fax phone number for all

submissions to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-

9306.

Michael M. Thompson

Patent Examiner

BRIAN L. CASLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

MT

February 19, 2004